

Appl. No. 10/748,313
Amdt Dated Nov. 5, 2005
Reply to Office Action October 6, 2005

REMARKS

Applicant highly appreciates the allowance of claims 9-18.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ho et al. (U.S. 6,607,023). Claims 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


In response, Applicant follows Examiner's suggestion to amend the present application to let it be allowable. The amendments include canceling claims 2-6, rewriting claim 6 in independent form as currently amended claim 1 which also includes the limitations of intervening claim 5, rewriting claim 8 in independent form as new claim 19, and amending dependency of claim 7.

Therefore, rejection of claims 1-5 and objection of claims 6-8 are overcome. Independent claims 1 and 19 should be allowable. Claim 7 which depends on claim 1 should also be allowable.

In view of the foregoing, the subject application as claimed in the pending claims is in a condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Lee et al.


Wei Te Chung

Appl. No. 10/748,313
Amdt Dated Nov. 5, 2005
Reply to Office Action October 6, 2005

Registration No.: 43,325

Foxconn International, Inc.

P. O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel No.: (408) 919-6137